INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

Review and updation of Mining Plan REPORT

Kolkata regional office

| | Mine | file No : KOL/JHK/SB(W |)/] | LST - 19 Mine code : 38BHR27013 |
|----|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | (i) | Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No. | : | EQ25) NAMAN EKKA |
| | (ii) | Designation | : | Junior Mining Geologist |
| | (iii) | Accompaning mine Official with Designation | : | Sanjeev Tripathi, DGM Mining |
| | (iv) | Date of Inspection | : | 09/11/2019 |
| | (v) | Prev.inspection date | : | 08/11/2019 |
| | | PAR | т- | I : GENERAL INFORMATION |
| 1. | (a) | Mine Name | : | RAJANKA (598.88 HECT.) |
| | (b) | Registration NO. | : | |
| | (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) | Category Type of Working Postal address State District Village Taluka Post office Pin Code FAX No. E-mail Phone Police Station First opening date | : : : : : : : : : : : | SINGHBHUM (WEST) RAJANKA CHAIBASA JHINKPANI 833215 (06589) 235250 (06589) 235224 |
| | (h) | Weekly day of rest | : | SUN |
| 2. | | ess for espondance | : | PO-JHINKPANI, DIST-SINGHBHUM WEST JHARKHAND PIN-833215 |
| 3. | (a) (b) (c) (d) | Lease Number Lease area Period of lease Date of Expiry | :: | BHR0030 598.89 50 30/06/2030 |
| 4. | Mine | cal worked | : | LIMESTONE Main |

03/07/2000 30/06/2003 29/10/2005

29/07/2010

06/03/2018

| •• | Name and nadrebb or (| 0110 | |
|----|-----------------------|------|---|
| | Lessee | : | ACC LIMITED |
| | | | CHAIBASA CEMENTS WORKS P.O |
| | | | - JHINKPANI DIST |
| | | | SINGHBHUM (W) SINGHBHUM (WEST) JHARKHAND |
| | | | Phone:06589-235224 |
| | | | FAX :06589-235250 |
| | | | |
| | Owner | : | Sridhar Balakrishnan |
| | | | Cement House 121 Maharshi |
| | | | karve Road Mumbai 400020, |
| | | | India SINGHBHUM (WEST) JHARKHAND |
| | | | Phone: 912233024321 |
| | | | FAX : 912266317407 |
| | | | |
| | Agent | : | RAJ GURUNG |
| | | | A.C.C. LTD., CHAIBASA |
| | | | CEMENT WORKS, P.O JHINKPANI SINGHBHUM (WEST) |
| | | | JHARKHAND |
| | | | Phone: 9798863126 |
| | | | FAX : |
| | Mining Enginee: | r | |
| | Name | : | SANJEEV TRIPATHI,Full Time |
| | Qualification | : | B.E. (MINING ENGINEERING) |
| | Appointment/ | : | 01/04/2016 |
| | Termination dat | te | |
| | Geologist | | |
| | Name | : | PANKAJ SHARMA,Full Time |
| | Qualification | : | M.Sc.(Geo) |
| | Appointment/ | | |
| | Termination dat | | |
| 6. | Date of approval of N | Mini | .ng : Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 |
| | Plan/Scheme of Mining | | Modif.approved Mining Scheme |
| | | | Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 |
| | | | Renewal under rule 24 MCR1960 |

MP modif under 17(3) MCR 2016

5. Name and Address of the

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|--|--|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | 5 drillhole proposed in Q Block | NIL | EC is pending for Q block. |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | 25 Ha | NIL | Could not be explored due to non availability of clearance. |
| lc | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | No Proposal | Proposal was given to explore Q, Could not be explored due to non availability of clearance. | EC not obtained for Q block. |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | 48.094 Ha | NIL | Balance area includes other Blocks than F in main lease. |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | (111)-36.3 Lac Tonnes (211) -96.99 Lac Tonnes (333)-142.8 Lac Tonnes (334)-103 Lac Tonnes | (111)-35.5 Lac Tonnes (211) -96.99 Lac Tonnes (333)-142.8 Lac Tonnes (334)-103 Lac Tonnes | |
| lf | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | | Exploration could not be completed in the proposed area due to non-avaliablity of EC. | e Exploration could not be completed in the proposed area due to non- avaliablity of EC. |

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|---------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | ML S16-ML S18 | ML S16-ML S18 | |
| 2b | overburden and | Seperate benches in topsoil, OB & mineral is proposed | Yes,Seperate benches in topsoil, OB & mineral present during inspection. | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.23 | 1:0.24 | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | 1799.92 m3 | 2000 m3 | |

2e Quantity of 396110 m3 348536 m3 overburden generation in m3 2f General remarks Development work is done Development work of inspecting as per proposal in is done as per officers on approved document. proposal in development of approved document. pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|----------------------|--|---|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | 02 nos. (Q1 & NP) | At the time of inspection 02 pits (Q1 & NP) were in production stage. | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 1657453 Ton | 1245379 Ton | |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100% | Less tahn 100% | Recovery for Grey shaly limestone is less due to clay admixture. |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | NO proposal | No mineral rejects generated. | |
| 3е | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | No Proposal | No mineral rejects generated. | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | 511134 Tonnes | 351300 Tonnes | |
| Зg | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | CaO-35-38% | CaO-35-38% | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Mechanized | Mechanized | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No proposal | No work done. | |

| 3ј | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Yes, Proposal of Drilling and blasting in Mineral Benches proposed | Drilling and blasting done in mineral benches. | |
|----|--|--|---|--|
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | of mining | Yes, mining machineries in mineral benches were present during inspection. | |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | 11.5 meters | 11.5 meters | |
| Зm | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 116.48 Ha | 112.42 Ha | |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:0.23 | 1:0.27 | |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | 128.316 Ha. | 126.12 Ha. | |
| 3р | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | 2014-15 1800000 2015-16 1154000 2016-17 2020000 2017-18 1802000 2018-19 1657453 | 2014-15 475255 2015-16 621799 2016-17 1093050 2017-18 1158099 2018-19 1245379 | |
| Зq | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | | Mining method as proposed in approved document is being practiced. | Mining method as proposed in approved document is being practiced. |
| | Solid Waste Manage | ment - Dumping: | | |

Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks

| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | No proposal of dumps only backfilling in ML S12-S18 | Only backfilling in ML S12-S18 is being done. | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | No proposal | No external dump only backfilling is being done. | |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | | No dumps only backfilling is being done. | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | No Proposal | No dumps present. | |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | No dumps | No Active and alive dumps present. | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | No proposal | No dead dumps present. | |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | No dumps proposal | No dumps present. | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | No proposal | No Dumps, No Retaining wall or garland drain. | |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | NO proposal | No Retaining wall or garland drain. | |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No proposal | No settling ponds present. | |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | | No dumps present , only backfilling is being done in the mines out | No dumps present , only backfilling is being done in the mines out area. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|---|---------|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | Proposal of full extraction part wise and then backfilling part wise. | Full extraction part wise and then backfilling part wise i sbeing practiced. | |

| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | D:16.68 Ha | F :21 Ha S:0.18 Ha | |
|----|--|------------|---|--|
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | | Plantation in progress on backfilled areas. | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | 26.7 Ha | 23.8 На | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | | Plantation in the new areas as well as gap filling by new p[lantation is being done flooowed by backfilling in the mined out areas. | Plantation in the new areas as well as gap filling by new p[lantation is being done flooowed by backfilling in the mined out areas. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|--|--|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | No Proposal | Annual report on PMCP submitted on time under Rule 23E(2). | Annual report on PMCP submitted on time under Rule 23E(2) |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | No Proposal | No area available for Rehabilitation. | |
| 6с | afforestation done (ha). | | Afforestation is being done in the Backfilled area. | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | Proposal for 1875 plants to be planted. | Only 1000 plants have been pl;anted durinmg the year. | |
| бе | Cumulative no .of plants | Proposal for 9875 plants. | Total of 14800 plants ahve been planted as reported. | |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | No Proposal | No work done. | |

6g Cost incurred on Rs. 57500/-Rs. 20000/- spent for for the year watch and care the year 2018-19. during the year 2018-19. 6h Compliance on 1.182 Ha 0.75 Ha have been backfilled in 2018-19. reclamation and available for backfilling rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx ВхD 6i Compliance on Proposal for 0.5 Ha. have been reclamation and 1.1 Ha. to be backfilled by tailings. rehabilitation bachfilled by by backfilling tailings. (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings 6j Compliance on No proposal No work done. reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area 6k Compliance on No proposal No work done. reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir 61 Compliance on No proposal No work done. reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means. 6m Compliance of NO proposal No work done. rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i) afforestation 6n Compliance of No proposal NO work done rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha)

| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | NO proposal | No work done. | |
|----|---|---------------|---|--|
| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | monitoring in | Enviromental monitoring in the core and buffer zone done. | |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | | Backfilling in the mined out areas by mill waste / tailings is being done. | Backfilling in the mined out areas by mill waste / tailings is being done. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Grey Lst >35% lime not consumable will be stacked | Stock of stipulated quality maintained. | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Mechanized | Mechanized | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | No proposal | All the minerals are blended to get min. of 35 % CaO | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines • | No proposal | No work done. | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | different grade limestone is blended to get CaO 35%. | different grade limestone is blended to get CaO 35%. |

Environment:

Sl.No. Item

Remarks

| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | in Mining | Work done as per Mining Plan. | |
|----|--|--|--|---|
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Directly spread on backfilled area | Directly spread on backfilled area for plantation | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Yes proposal made | Yes, work done as per made proposal | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No proposal | No work done. | |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No proposal Made for phased restrotation. | No work done against phased resotoration. | |
| 8g | Survival rate | 85% | 86.83% | |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | permanent and | Done with permanent and Mobile Sprinkler | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | | Mines is in Plain area with little elevation compared with the nearby Village Road. Aesthetic beauty is good inand around the mines area. | Mines is in Plain area with little elevation compared with the nearby Village Road. Aesthetic beauty is good inand around the mines area. |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------|---|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | | Monthly Returns and Annual Returns Submitted on time. | |

9b Scrutiny of Information on Mining Mining Engineer, Annual return Engineer, Geologist and Geologist and for information Manager present on Manager were on Mining Annual Returns. present on the day of inspection. Engineer, Geologist and Manager 9c Scrutiny of Covered under Covered under current Annual return on current (O/C) (O/C) Workings - 36.50 land use pattern Workings -Ha. for area under 36.50 Ha. Reclaimed/Rehabilitated pits, reclaimed Reclaimed/Reha - 4.50 Ha. area, dumps etc. bilitated -Used for waste disposal 4.50 Ha. - 1 Ha. Used for waste Work done under PMCP disposal - 1 12.00 Ha. Ha. Work done under PMCP -12.00 Ha. 9d Scrutiny of Number of Number of trees planted Within the lease Annual return on trees planted during the year - 1000 area. during the afforestation nos. year - 1000 nos. 9e Scrutiny of No Mineral NO Mineral Rejects Annual return on Rejects generated was reported mineral reject Generated during inspection. generation (Grade and quantity) 9f Total ROM Ore Total ROM Production as Scrutiny of Annual return on production per Monthly An annual ROM stock and/or (tonnes) -Returns is - 1245379 graded ore 1245379 Tonnes. Tonnes. 9q Scrutiny of Ex Mines Price Ex Mines Price reported Annual return on reported for for the Year at Cement Grade is - Rs. 335/sale value, Ex. the Year at Mine price and Cement Grade is - Rs. 335/production cost Value of Fixed Value of Fixed Assets 9h Scrutiny of Annual return on Assets (in .) (in .) - 353804980/fixed assets - 353804980/-

| DUMPER 45.000 DUMPER 55.000 TONNE 4 TONNE 3 nos. DUMPER 55.000 WHEEL LOADER 5.000 CUM TONNE 4 nos. NOS. WHEEL LOADER WATER TANKER 10000.000 5.000 CUM 1 LITRE 2 nos. NOS. BLAST HOST DRILL 115.00 WATER TANKER MM 3 nos. 10000.000 SHOVEL (HYDRAULIC) 6.50 LITRE 2 nos. CUM 1 nos. BLAST HOST SHOVEL (HYDRAULIC) 4.00 DRILL 115.000 CUM 1 nos. MM 3 nos. DUMPER 35.000 TONNE 1 nos. DUMPER 35.000 TONNE 1 nos. | 9k | Annual return on mining machineries | CUM 1 nos. SHOVEL (HYDRAULIC) 5.000 CUM 2 nos. DUMPER 45.000 TONNE 3 nos. DUMPER 55.000 TONNE 4 nos. WHEEL LOADER 5.000 CUM 1 nos. WATER TANKER 10000.000 LITRE 2 nos. BLAST HOST DRILL 115.000 MM 3 nos. SHOVEL (HYDRAULIC) 6.500 CUM 1nos. SHOVEL (HYDRAULIC) 4.000 CUM 1 nos. DUMPER 35.000 | SHOVEL (HYDRAULIC) 5.00 CUM 2 nos. DUMPER 45.000 TONNE 3 nos. DUMPER 55.000 TONNE 4 nos. WHEEL LOADER 5.000 CUM nos. WATER TANKER 10000.000 LITRE 2 nos. BLAST HOST DRILL 115.00 MM 3 nos. SHOVEL (HYDRAULIC) 6.50 CUM 1nos. SHOVEL (HYDRAULIC) 4.00 CUM 1 nos. DUMPER 35.000 TONNE 1 | 1 0 0 |
|--|----|---|--|---|-------------|
|--|----|---|--|---|-------------|

| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| Violation observed | | | Show couse position | | |
| Rule NO. | Issued on | Compliance | on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on |

Date :

(NAMAN EKKA)

Indian Bureau of Mines